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## Foreword

As India is aiming to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals, it becomes imperative that the good health and well-being of women and children is given the attention it deserves. Acknowledging the long-term negative repercussions of early marriages (i.e. married before the age of 21 years) and teenage pregnancies on women in India and their offspring, the Government of India is currently contemplating raising the age of marriage for girls from 18 years (as prescribed under the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act (PCMA) 2006) to 21 years and in this respect has constituted the Jaitly Committee.

Rather than relying upon anecdotal evidence justifying or negating the impact of increasing the age of marriage which has been the focus of many public debates in recent months, this report analyses the National Family Health Survey 2015-2016 (NFHS-4) data to ascertain how growth and development outcomes of women are affected by their age at the time of marriage. The report further explores the impact of marriage on girls who were married before the age of 21 years and women who got married at or after the age of 21 years, amongst $21-30$ years old women. Additionally, this report analyses data to understand the correlation between the mothers' age of marriage and the health outcomes of their offspring.

The analysis highlights that, based on NFHS-4 analysis, while $21.2 \%$ of women got married after the age of 21 years, $62.6 \%$ of women got married before the age of 21 years. It is pertinent to note that a third (34.3\%) of the women got married before the age of 18 years. Only $28.1 \%$ of the women who married before the age of 21 years had completed secondary education as opposed to $61.8 \%$ who married after the age of 21 years. Women who married early are majorly employed in agricultural and/or manual-skilled and unskilled work. Additionally, of the children born to women who got married early, higher proportion suffered from nutritional deficiency as $59.7 \%$ of their children were anaemic, $40.6 \%$ stunted, and $37.8 \%$ underweight, as compared to $56.2 \%$ anaemic, $29.1 \%$ stunted, and $27.3 \%$ underweight as seen in children of women married after the age of 21 years. Along with the issue of poor development of women and their children owing to early marriage, the analysis also found that women who got married early consider to have more children, and therefore, increasing the age of marriage for girls will help in containing the population growth which is a step forward towards sustainable living. It further underscores the need to make secondary school completion compulsory, build skills of women, empower them by opening avenues to enter the workforce and raise healthy children.

This analysis is timely and relevant for it offers policymakers, academicians as well as civil society organizations empirical evidence based on official government data to support their arguments for making amendments to the legal age of marriage of girls in India.

## Introduction


#### Abstract

"We have formed a committee to ensure that the daughters are no longer suffering from malnutrition and they are married off at the right age. As soon as the report is submitted, appropriate decisions will be taken about the age of marriage of daughters" - Narendra Modi, Prime Minister of India.


In light of the recent statement made by the Prime Minister of India on August 15, 2020, and the Government of India considering increasing the age of marriage for the girl child', there have been vociferous debates and discussions.

The Finance Minister of India, Nirmala Sitharaman, in her 2020 Budget speech in February proposed to appoint a task force to study and review the age of marriage for women. She noted, "Women's age of marriage was increased from 15 years to 18 years in 1978 by amending erstwhile Sharda Act of 1929. As India progresses further, opportunities open up for women to pursue higher education and careers. There are imperatives of lowering MMR (maternal mortality rate) as well as improvement of nutrition levels. The entire issue about the age of a girl entering motherhood needs to

[^0]be seen in this light. I propose to appoint a task force that will present its recommendations in six months' time."

Since these announcements, discussions and debates by advocates in favor of the increase in age of marriage for girls and critics against these changes have been vociferous. Unfortunately, many of the current debates are guided by anecdotal evidence and fail to rely upon credible data to establish whether or not there is a genuine need to increase the age of marriage for girls to ensure their well-being.

Therefore, it is vital to understand how early marriage ${ }^{2}$ impacts a girl's future trajectory. Aiming at evidence-based understanding, Young Lives India has analyzed the National Family Health Survey 2015-2016 ("NFHS-4") ${ }^{3}$ data for women in the age group of $21-30$ years to determine the difference in the development outcomes of women who got married after the age of 21 years vis-a-vis those who got married before the age of 21 years.
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## Data and Methodology

## Data \& Limitations

As the last Census of India data was recorded over a decade ago in 2011, NFHS-4 which provides the latest data (albeit from 2015-2016), has been utilized for the purpose of the analysis. NFHS provides national data for health, nutrition, and population for all the Indian States \& Union Territories ("UTs"). Note, one of the major limitations of the NFHS-4 is that while data pertaining to maternal mortality rate ("MMR") is generally recorded in Demographic and Health Surveys ("DHS"), the fourth round of NFHS did not have data available for MMR.

## Methodology

The report uses descriptive analysis to ascertain the difference in women in the 2130 years age group by marital status and age at marriage, further disaggregating them by their socio-demographic background, empowerment status, and health outcomes of women and their children.

For the purpose of this report, the phrase 'different groups of women by their age at marriage' refers to unmarried women ${ }^{4}$, women married before the age of 18 years, women married between the age of 18 and 21 years, women married before the age of 21 years, and women married after the age of 21 years. As the NFHS-4 sample is a stratified two-stage sample, all the estimates are weighted using the national sample weight
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## Andlysis of NFHS - 4

According to the NFHS-4, $62.6 \%$ of women got married before 21 years of age (reported by women in the age group of 21-30 years) (Table 1). While a third ${ }^{5}$ of these women got married before they turned 18 years old, 28.3\% were married after turning 18 years and before 21 years of age.

| Table 1: Prevalence of Marriage of Women <br> (21-30 Years Old) by Age at Marriage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age at Marriage | \% |  |
| Unmarried | 16.2 |  |
| Married before 18 years | 34.3 |  |
| Married between 18 and 21 <br> years | 28.3 |  |
| Married after 21 years | 21.2 |  |
| Total | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |

These nine States include Bihar (81\%), Jharkhand (73.9\%), West Bengal (73.5\%), Rajasthan (73\%), Andhra Pradesh (72.7\%), Madhya Pradesh (71.8\%), Chhattisgarh (63.4\%), Telangana (63.4\%), and Uttar Pradesh (62.7\%). (Table Al in Appendix A).

On the other hand, there are 12 (twelve) States \& UTs with the prevalence of marriage higher than the national average (28.3\%) of women being married between 18 and 21 years of age.

Furthermore, 28 States \& UTs have the prevalence of marriage higher than the national average ( $21.2 \%$ ) for women married after the age of 21 years. The top 5 States \& UTs include Lakshadweep (46.1\%), Himachal Pradesh (38\%), Kerala (37\%), Puducherry (35.1\%), and Punjab (33.1\%). ${ }^{6}$

Figure 1: Prevalence of Marriage Among Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years in India (\%)


Source: Young Lives India's Calculation

[^3]${ }^{6}$ For more details, see Table A1 in Appendix A.

## Age of Marriage and Place of Residence

The place of residence or location i.e., being raised in a rural or urban area has a significant impact ${ }^{7}$ on the women's age of marriage. Of all the rural women in the age group of 21-30 years, 69.7\% got married before the age of 21 years, whereas less than half ( $49.2 \%$ ) of their urban counterparts got married before the age of 21 years (Table 2).

| Table 2: Prevalence of Marriage of Women in the <br> Age Group of 21-30 Years by Different Groups of <br> Women by Their Age at Marriage and Place of <br> Residence (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age at Marriage | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Unmarried | 23.7 | 12.2 | 16.2 |
| Married before 18 <br> years | 24 | 39.8 | 34.3 |
| Married between <br> 18 and 21 years | 25.2 | 29.9 | 28.3 |
| Married after 21 <br> years | 27.1 | 18.1 | 21.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |

While 24\% of urban women got married before the age of 18 years, $39.8 \%$ of rural women got married before the age of 18 years. Between the age of 18 to 21 years, one of out every four ( $25.2 \%$ ) urban women got married, compared to three out of ten (29.9\%) of rural women. Furthermore, 27.1\% of urban women got married after the age of 21 years, compared to $18.1 \%$ of rural women.

Moreover, of all the women who got married before 21 years of age, in the age group of 2130 years, $72.5 \%$ were from rural areas. It is pertinent to note that the proportion of women getting married in rural areas as compared to urban areas kept decreasing as the age at marriage increased. To substantiate, while $75.5 \%$ of women who got married before 18 years belonged to rural areas, $68.8 \%$ and $55.4 \%$ of women who got married between 18 and 21 years and after 21 years of age, respectively, belonged to rural areas. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, a larger majority of women in rural areas ( $69 \%$ ) got married before the age of 21 years as compared to urban areas (49.2\%).

However, the situation is not the same across the Indian States \& UTs. The top 5 (five) States with high prevalence of marriage before the age of 21 years in rural areas include Himachal Pradesh (93.3\%), Assam (89.4\%), Bihar (89\%), Meghalaya (85.2\%), and Odisha ( $84.9 \%$ ). It is pertinent to note that the 5 (five) States \& UTs with high prevalence of marriage in urban areas for women before the age of 21 years include 4 UTs and the smallest State of the country viz. Delhi (99.2\%), Chandigarh (97\%), Goa (82.2\%), Daman and Diu (73.9\%), and Puducherry (73\%).9 The results for the top 5 States are similar for different groups of women by their age at marriage (Figure 2). ${ }^{10}$
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Figure 2: Top Five Rural and Urban States \& Union Territories with High Prevalence of Marriage


## Household Wealth and the Age of Marriage

Data from NFHS-4 highlights that age at marriage for women differ significantly based on the wealth of the household" ${ }^{11}$. For the purpose of the analysis, the national level sample was divided into household wealth terciles, i.e., bottom, middle, and top.

As highlighted in Figure 3, the prevalence of marriage before the age of 21 years is lower for women from top wealth tercile households (43.5\%) as compared to bottom (79.1\%) and middle (66.2\%) wealth tercile households.

It is pertinent to note that while women from $50 \%$ of the bottom tercile households got married before the age of 18 years, $36.2 \%$ of women from the middle tercile households got married before 18 years, and a significantly lower percentage i.e., $17.7 \%$ of the women from the top tercile households got married before the age of 18 years.

Moreover, while $25.8 \%$ of women from the top wealth tercile households got married between the age 18 to 21 years, $30 \%$ of women from the middle wealth tercile households, and $29.1 \%$ from the bottom wealth tercile households got married between the age of 18 to 21 years. Furthermore, a quarter of girls from the top tercile households remained unmarried and a third got married after 21 years of age. This was much lower for girls from the middle (19.3\%) and bottom (12.4\%) wealth tercile households. This underscores that early marriage is associated with girls from poor households (Figure 3).
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While early marriages are seen more in the bottom and middle tercile households, the pattern varies across the Indian States \& UTs. Amongst marriages that took place before the age of 21 years, 8 (eight) States have over $50 \%$ of prevalence in the bottom wealth tercile households. In 3 (three) States, the prevalence of marriage before the age of 21 years was over $50 \%$ in the middle wealth tercile households. Furthermore, in 8 (eight) States \& UTs over $50 \%$ of the prevalence of marriage before the age of 21 years was in the top wealth tercile households. ${ }^{12}$ Amongst marriages that take place after the age of 21 years, Bihar (53.4\%) have over $50 \%$ of prevalence in the bottom wealth tercile households. In 3 (three) States, the prevalence of marriage before the age of 21 years was over $50 \%$ in the middle wealth tercile households. Furthermore, in 17 (seventeen) States \& UTs, the prevalence of marriage after 21 years of age is higher than the national average ( $50.5 \%$ ) in the top wealth tercile households.

Given that the wealth terciles are taken at the national level, certain States have a higher proportion of a particular wealth tercile. Therefore, the same State appears to have high prevalence of marriage both before and after the age of 21 years in a particular wealth tercile. ${ }^{13}$ For instance, 68.8\% of the households in Bihar are in bottom wealth tercile and therefore high proportion of marriages take place both before (73.6\%) and after (53.4\%) the age of 21 years in bottom wealth tercile as compared to other wealth terciles. Similarly, $87.3 \%$ of the households in Chandigarh are in top wealth

[^6]tercile, therefore, $68.9 \%$ of the marriages take place before the age of 21 years and $95.5 \%$ of the marriages take place after the age of 21 years in top wealth tercile households.

## Caste and Age of Marriage

Data reveals that there is a significant difference in women's age at marriage based on their caste. ${ }^{14}$

The prevalence of marriage before the age of 21 years is higher amongst the marginal castes viz. Scheduled Castes (66\%), Scheduled Tribes (68.6\%), and Other Backward Castes (64.2\%), as compared to the Other or General Castes (53.7\%). Furthermore, the prevalence of marriage after the age of 21 years is higher amongst Other Castes (25.8\%) as compared to the marginal castes.

The prevalence of marriage before the age of 18 years is higher amongst Scheduled Tribe (ST) households (39.9\%), as compared to other marginal castes, whereas the

| Table 3: Prevalence of Marriage, for Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Different Groups of Women by Their Age at Marriage and Caste (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age at Marriage | SCs | STs | OBCs | Other | Total |
| Unmarried | 15.3 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 20.5 | 16.1 |
| Married before 18 years | 37.6 | 39.9 | 34.9 | 27.3 | 34.2 |
| Married between 18 and 21 years | 28.4 | 28.7 | 29.3 | 26.4 | 28.4 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { Married } \\ \text { after } \end{array} & 21 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | 18.6 | 16.9 | 21.2 | 25.8 | 21.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |
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prevalence of marriage between the age of 18-21 years is higher amongst Other Backward Castes, as compared to other marginal castes (Table 3). The pattern varies across the Indian States \& UTs. For instance, majority of the population of Lakshadweep, Mizoram, Meghalaya, and Nagaland belongs to STs ${ }^{15}$, therefore, over $90 \%$ of the marriages, whether before or after the age of 21 years, are from ST households. The top 10 States with the majority of marriages before the age of 21 years taking place in the marginal caste households include Tamil Nadu (98.9\%), Chhattisgarh (95.7\%), Jharkhand (91.9\%), Telangana (90.9\%), Karnataka (89\%), Madhya Pradesh (87.9\%), Arunachal Pradesh (86.8\%), Bihar (86.1\%), Tripura (86\%), and Odisha (85.7\%). Furthermore, Andaman and Nicobar Islands (70.4\%), Goa (54.2\%), Himachal Pradesh (51.6\%), and Jammu and Kashmir
(50.2\%) have a majority of marriages before the age of 21 years being undertaken in Other Castes households. ${ }^{16}$ A similar pattern is seen amongst States \& UTs from all the groups of women's age at marriage. ${ }^{17}$

## Highest Level of Education and Age of Marriage

Age at marriage is significantly ${ }^{18}$ different for women based on their highest level of education. ${ }^{19}$ A significant proportion of women (34.3\%) in the age group of $21-30$ years who got married before the age of 18 years did not receive any formal education.

For women married between 18 and 21 years, 48.9\% received secondary or beyond education, this proportion increases significantly to $69.2 \%$ for women who got married after the age of 21 years (Table 4).

| Table 4: Prevalence of Marriage, for Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Different Groups of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women by Their Age at Marriage and the Highest Level of Education Received (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age at Marriage | No <br> Education | Primary | Upper <br> Primary | Secondary | Higher <br> Secondary | Tertiary |  |
| Unmarried | 5.3 | 4.4 | 7.5 | 12.4 | 14.1 | 56.3 |  |
| Married before 18 <br> (ears | 34.3 | 18.9 | 21.5 | 16.5 | 5.8 | 3 |  |
| Married between 18 <br> and 21 years | 19.2 | 12.7 | 19.2 | 22.8 | 14.9 | 11.2 |  |
| Married after 21 years | 10.7 | 7.1 | 13.1 | 19.4 | 16 | 33.8 |  |
| Total | 20.3 | 12.3 | 16.8 | 18.2 | 11.9 | 20.5 |  |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^8][^9]It is pertinent to note that the completion rate of secondary education ${ }^{20}$ is significantly higher among the women who got married after the age of 21 years (61.8\%), as compared to women who got married before the age of 21 years (28.1\%). This pattern can be seen across all the States \& UTs (Figure 4). ${ }^{21}$

Furthermore, of women who married before the age of 18 years, only $18.3 \%$ had completed secondary level education. A similar pattern is observed across the Indian States \& UTs. ${ }^{22}$

Figure 4 - Completion of Secondary Education Amongst Married Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years (\%)


Source: Young Lives India's Calculation
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## Employment and Earnings

Economic empowerment is critical in realizing gender equality and women's rights. It includes access to decent work, agency, and participation in economic decision making, among others ${ }^{23}$. Based on NFHS-4 analysis, the type of employment significantly differs based on the women's age at marriage ${ }^{24}$.

Amongst women engaged in professional/ technical/ managerial work, 31.3\% got married after the age of 21 years, only $7.9 \%$ and $12.5 \%$ got married before 18 years and between 18-21 years, respectively. Agricultural and manual-skilled and unskilled work is predominantly undertaken by women who got married before the age of 21 years (Figure 5).


According to World Bank (2017)25, women who married early tend to have lower earnings in their lifetime as compared to women who married later due to low educational attainment, higher fertility, and large family size, which impacts the welfare of their respective households. Furthermore, in-kind payments are more common for agricultural and domestic work, with better jobs paying in cash ${ }^{26}$.

[^11]The decision-making power with respect to women's earning ${ }^{27}$ is also significantly associated with their age at marriage ${ }^{28}$. While $82.2 \%$ of working women, who got married after the age of 21 years are involved in deciding how to spend their earnings, only $78.5 \%$ of working women who got married before the age of 18 years got involved in these decisions (Figure 7).
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| Figure 6 - Type of Earnings of Working Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years by Their Age at Marriage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not Paid | Cash Only | Cash and In-Kind | In- Kind Only |
|  | $\int_{18}^{\text {Married B Eforere }}$ | 17.1 | 69.7 | 9.0 | 4.3 |
| Type of Earnings of | Married After 18 | 18.8 | 70.3 | 7.1 | 3.8 |
| the Age Group of 2130 Years by Their Age at Marriage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maried After } \\ & 21 \text { vears } \end{aligned}$ | 14.8 | 78.4 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| Source: Young Lives India | s Calculation |  |  |  |  |

Using the NFHS-4 data, it is found that the type of earnings received by the working women significantly differ based on the women's age at marriage ${ }^{29}$. Figure 6 shows that a higher proportion of women who got married after the age of 21 years are paid in cash ( $78.4 \%$ ), as compared to $69.7 \%$ and $70.3 \%$ of women who got married before 18 years and between 18-21 years of age, respectively. Also, a higher proportion of women who got married before the age of 18 years are paid in-kind ( $4.3 \%$ ), as compared to $2.8 \%$ of women who got married after the age of 21 years. This may be an indicator of the agency of girls who married later and demanded wages in cash for work rendered.

Figure 7 - Decision Regarding the Spending of the Working Women's Earnings (\%)

| 둡 줍 줍 웁 <br> 웅 웁 웁 웁 |  | Married <br> re 18 Yrs | Married After18 but Before 21 Yrs | Married After $21 \text { Yrs }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Respondent Alone | 7.8 | 86.4 | 3.9 |
| Decision Regarding the Spending of the Working Women's Earnings (\%) | Respondent and Husband/ Partner | 17.1 | 69.7 | 9.0 |
|  | Husband/Partner Alone | 18.8 | 70.3 | 7.1 |
|  | Someone Else | 14.8 | 78.4 | 4.0 |

Source: Young Lives India's Calculation

[^13]
## Teenage Pregnancy

Women's age at first birth is significantly associated with her age at marriage. ${ }^{30}$ Of the women married before the age of $21,53.5 \%$ become a teenage mother. ${ }^{31}$ It is pertinent to note that $34.3 \%$ of women in the age-group of 21-30 years got married before the age of 18 years (Table 1 ), and $79 \%$ of these became teenage mothers. WHO (2020) ${ }^{32}$ reports teenage pregnancy to be the leading cause of death amongst 15 to 19 -year-old girls. Additionally, while $95.2 \%$ of women who married after 21 years gave first birth after the age of 22 years, only $13.3 \%$ of women who married before 21 years gave first birth after 22 years of age (Figure 8). According to Perez-Alvarez \& Favara (2019), ${ }^{33}$ early motherhood also has detrimental impact on the health and cognition of their children.

## Figure 8



Source: Young Lives India's Calculation

## Body Mass Index

Low body mass index ${ }^{34}$ (BMI) is significantly associated with the women's age at marriage ${ }^{35}$. Of all the women married before the age of 18 years, $25 \%$ had low BMI, whereas $18.6 \%$ of women who got married after the
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age of 21 years had low BMI (Table 5). It is pertinent to note that literature informs about poor health outcomes amongst women who married early, specifically on account of early pregnancy.

| Table 5: Percentage of Marital Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years with Low Body Mass Index (BMI) (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age at Marriage | Low BMI |
| Married before 18 years | 25 |
| Married between 18 and 21 years | 24 |
| Married after 21 years | 18.6 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |

The top 5 States with low BMI among women married before the age of 21 years include Jharkhand (32.3\%), Dadra and Nagar Haveli (31.4\%), Bihar (28.3\%), Madhya Pradesh (28.3\%), and Gujarat (28.1\%). Four of these top 5 States feature in the top 5 of all the other groups of age at marriage. ${ }^{36}$

## Ideal Number of Children as Perceived by Women Aged 21-30 Years

The ideal number of children is the number of children women aged 21-30 shared they would choose to have in their whole life. According to the DHS Guide, this number is affected by the actual number of living children of women. Age at marriage and the ideal number of children is significantly associated with each other ${ }^{37}$. As highlighted by Table 6, the earlier the women are married, the more children they consider ideal. For instance, while $28.3 \%$ of the women married before the age of 18 years consider three or more children to be ideal, $19.3 \%$ of the women married between 18 to 21 years and

[^15]only $11.2 \%$ of the women married after 21 years consider it to be an ideal number. Therefore, to curb the growth in Indian population for a sustainable future, it is imperative to ensure that women are well-educated and marry when they are mature enough to start their own family.

| Table 6: Ideal <br> Women in The Age-Group 21-30 Years by <br> Their Age at Marriage (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No. of <br> children | Married <br> before <br> 18 <br> years | Married <br> between <br> 18 and <br> 21 years | Married <br> after 21 <br> years | Total |
| 0 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 1 | 4.1 | 6.4 | 11.2 | 8 |
| 2 | 64.2 | 71.6 | 74.9 | 69.2 |
| 3 | 20.6 | 14.8 | 8.7 | 14 |
| 4 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| 5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| $6+$ | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| \begin{tabular}{l\|r|r|r|}
\hline
\end{tabular} | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| non- <br> numeric <br> response | 0.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 100 | 100 |  |  |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |

## Children Born to Women Aged 21-30 Years

The number of children ${ }^{38}$ born to women in the age group $21-30$ years is significantly different among women based on their age at marriage ${ }^{39}$. It is pertinent to note that $80 \%$ of the women in the age-group 21-30 years with children, got married before the age of 21 years (Figure 9).
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| Figure 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% |  | No Child | One or More Child | Total |
| 403030 | Unmarried | 59.0 | 0 | 16.2 |
| Percentage of Women in the Age Group of 21-30 | Married Before 18 Years | 5.3 | 45.3 | 34.3 |
| of Women by their Age at Marriage and Children | Married Between 18 and 21 Years | 11.4 | 34.7 | 28.3 |
|  | Married After 21 Years | 24.4 | 20.0 | 21.2 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |

The same trend is seen across the States where over $80 \%$ of women who married before the age of 21 years had one or more children. ${ }^{40}$ In fact, over $92 \%$ of women who got married before the age of 18 years and over $75 \%$ of women who got married between the age of 18 and 21 years had one or more children in all the Indian States \& UTs. ${ }^{41}$

Of women married before the age of 18 years, $15.6 \%$ have one child, $41.7 \%$ have two children, $59.7 \%$ have three or more children. Of women married between 18 to 21 years, $33.4 \%$ have one child, $39.3 \%$ have two children, and $27.3 \%$ have three or more children.

| Table7: Percentage of Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years by <br> Different Groups of Women by Their age at Marriage and Number <br> of Children Ever Born (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> children <br> ever born | Unmarried | Married <br> before <br> 18 years | Married <br> betwee <br> n 18 <br> and 21 <br> years | Married <br> after 21 <br> years | Total |
| 0 | 99.8 | 4.2 | 11 | 31.6 | 27.4 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 15.6 | 33.4 | 42.4 | 23.8 |
| 2 | 0.1 | 41.7 | 39.3 | 21.6 | 30 |
| 3 | 0 | 24.7 | 12.5 | 3.7 | 12.8 |
| 4 | 0 | 9.6 | 3 | 0.7 | 4.3 |
| 5 | 0 | 3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| 6 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |

[^17]Of women married after 21 years of age, $42.4 \%$ have one child, $21.6 \%$ have two children, and $4.5 \%$ have three or more children. Table 7 shows that the number of children born to a woman is significantly ${ }^{42}$ less when she is married after 21 years of age.

## Nutritional Status of Children

Anthropometric measures of weight and height are used to determine the nutritional status of children. Additionally, the severity of anaemia is considered as it can cause stunting, impair cognitive development, and increase the chances of falling ill due to infectious diseases.

Anaemic level amongst the children is significantly associated with the age at which the women (their mothers) got married ${ }^{43}$ (Table 8). A higher proportion of children born to women who got married before the age of 18 years are anaemic (60.1\%), as compared to children born to women who got married after the age of 21 years (56.2\%).

| Table 8: Anaemia Level of Children of Women in the <br> Age Group of 21-30 Years by Women's Age at <br> Marriage (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anaemia <br> level | Married <br> before <br> 18 years | Married <br> before <br> 21 years | Married <br> between <br> 18 and <br> 21 <br> years | Married <br> after 21 <br> years |
| Severe | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Moderate | 30 | 29.7 | 29.5 | 27.9 |
| Mild | 28.5 | 28.4 | 28.3 | 26.9 |
| Not <br> anaemic | 39.9 | 40.3 | 40.6 | 43.8 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |

[^18]This is true also for stunting amongst the children which is found to be significantly associated with the age at which the women (their mothers) got married ${ }^{44}$. Of children born to the women married after the age of 21 years, $29.1 \%$ are stunted as compared to $44 \%$ \& 37.2\% stunting among children born to the women who married before age of 18 years and between the age of 18-21 years, respectively (Table 9).

| Whether the child is stunted? | Married before 18 years | Married before 21 years | Married between 18 and 21 years | Married after 21 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 44 | 40.6 | 37.2 | 29.1 |
| No | 56 | 59.4 | 62.8 | 70.9 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |

Weight for age amongst the children is significantly associated with the age at which the women (their mothers) got married ${ }^{45}$. While $71.9 \%$ of children born to women married after the age of 21 years weigh healthy ${ }^{46}$ in accordance to their age, only $59.2 \%$ \& $64.5 \%$ of children born to women married before the age of 18 years \& between the age of 18-21 years weigh healthy, respectively (Table 10).

| Table 10: Underweight \& Overweight Issue Amongst <br> Children Born to the Women in the Age Group 21-30 Years <br> by the Women's age at Marriage |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight <br> category | Married <br> before <br> 18 years | Married <br> before <br> 21 years | Married <br> between <br> 18 and <br> 21 years | Married <br> after 21 <br> years |
| Underweight | 40.4 | 37.8 | 35.1 | 27.3 |
| Healthy <br> weight | 59.2 | 61.8 | 64.5 | 71.9 |
| Source: Young Lives India's Calculation |  |  |  |  |

[^19]
## District Level Analysis

The top 100 districts with the highest prevalence of marriage before the age of 21 years belong to 11 Indian States, viz. Bihar (30), Madhya Pradesh (18), Jharkhand (12), Rajasthan (10), West Bengal (10), Maharashtra (7), Uttar Pradesh (7), Andhra Pradesh (3), Gujarat (1), Haryana (1), and Odisha (1). ${ }^{47}$ On the other hand, the top 100 districts with the highest prevalence of marriage after the age of 21 years belong to 17 Indian States \& UTs, viz. Tamil Nadu (18), Punjab (12), Kerala (11), Himachal Pradesh (10), Jammu \& Kashmir (9), Karnataka (7), Haryana (6), Maharashtra (6), Manipur (5), Odisha (5), Puducherry (3), Nagaland (2), Uttar Pradesh (2), Goa (1), Lakshadweep (1), Madhya Pradesh (1), and West Bengal (1). ${ }^{48}$

To ensure a sustainable living, the policymakers need to focus on the top 100 districts where higher proportion of marriages take place before the women are 21 years old. Additionally, studies could be undertaken to understand what has been working in the top 100 districts where higher proportion of marriages take place after women turn 21.

[^20]Figure 10 - Top 100 Districts with Highest Prevalence of Child Marriage


Source: Young Lives India's Calculation

## Conclusion

The analysis supports that early marriages devoid women of formal education, reduces their agency, and severely impact the health and upbringing of their offsprings.

According to the NFHS-4 data, nine States have higher prevalence of marriage of young women before the age of 21 years as compared to the national average (62.6\%). As also reported by UNICEF (2001) ${ }^{49}$ and Sudarsoa, Mas'udahb and Budirahayu (2020) ${ }^{50}$, early marriage is found to be a rural phenomenon. There are 15 States in which more than $70 \%$ of marriages before the age of 21 years take place in rural areas. While early marriage is seen more amongst poor households, in eight States \& UTs, over 50\% of marriages before the age of 21 years take place in wealthy households. Moreover, marriage before the age of 21 years is more prominent amongst marginal castes as compared to general castes. There are 20 States \& UTs in which more than $80 \%$ of marriages before the age of 21 years take place amongst marginal castes.

The analysis reveals that critical education and health outcomes amongst women who got married after the age of 21 years are significantly better compared to women who got married before the age of 21 years, as higher proportion of women completed their secondary education (61.8\%) and lower proportion of women have low BMI (18.6\%), if married after 21 years of age. Women who got married after the age of 21 years are seen to have a better job, earn in-cash, and have

[^21]relatively higher autonomy on spending their earnings as compared to women who got married before the age of 21 years.

An important finding pertains to teenage pregnancy which is prominent amongst the women who got married before the age of 21 years. Out of total $21-30$ years old women with children, $80 \%$ got married before the age of 21 years; and the nutritional status and anaemia level of children born to women who got married after the age of 21 years (29.1\% stunted, $27.3 \%$ underweight, and $56.2 \%$ anaemic) is better than the children of women who got married before the age of 21 years ( $40.6 \%$ stunted, $37.8 \%$ underweight, and $59.7 \%$ anaemic). In addition, women who were married before 21 years of age were found to hold beliefs that considered greater number of children as ideal, which would in turn negatively impact increase in population growth. This highlights how increasing the minimum age of marriage for women will help in containing population growth and would be a step forward towards sustainable living.

Lastly, the analysis also brings forth the shortcomings of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act 2006 ("PCMA 2006"). Inspite of the enactment of PCMA, NFHS-4 found that $34.3 \%$ of women in 21-30 years of age-group were married as a child.

[^22]It is pertinent to point out that according to PCMA 2006, child marriages are legally voidable (not null and void), and the onus of declaring the marriage void via filing a petition falls only on the contracting party, i.e., the individuals who got married (or by the guardian if the child is minor).

As in most cases, the guardians are involved in conducting child marriages, and the girl
herself can file the petition till two years after she attains majority, consequently, in practice this legal recourse to declare a child marriage void becomes ineffective.

Therefore, in addition to increasing the minimum age of marriage for women, there is an urgent need to look at child marriage using a human rights lens and declare all child marriages void ab initio.

## Appendices

Appendix A
Table Al: Prevalence of Marriage Amongst Women in the Age Group of 21-30
Years by Different Groups of Women by Their Age at Marriage and the Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Unmarrie d (\%) | Married before 18 years (\%) | Married between 18 and 21 years (\%) | Married <br> before 21 <br> years (\%) | Married after 21 years (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | $\begin{gathered} (4)=(2)+(3 \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | (5) |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 29.5 | 21.6 | 27 | 48.6 | 21.9 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 11.5 | 42.7 | 30 | 72.7 | 15.7 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 25.1 | 30.2 | 22.6 | 52.8 | 22.1 |
| Assam | 19.3 | 33.8 | 25.4 | 59.2 | 21.5 |
| Bihar | 5.8 | 51.2 | 29.8 | 81 | 13.2 |
| Chandigarh | 36.7 | 16.5 | 18.2 | 34.7 | 28.6 |
| Chhattisgarh | 17.3 | 31.9 | 31.5 | 63.4 | 19.3 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 14.3 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 59.4 | 26.3 |
| Daman and Diu | 19.1 | 26.6 | 28.3 | 54.9 | 26 |
| Goa | 40.4 | 16.1 | 13 | 29.1 | 30.5 |
| Gujarat | 17.1 | 31 | 28 | 59 | 23.9 |
| Haryana | 14.1 | 27.7 | 32.5 | 60.2 | 25.7 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 21.1 | 11.4 | 29.5 | 40.9 | 38 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 40.7 | 12.1 | 16.6 | 28.7 | 30.6 |
| Jharkhand | 12.8 | 45.9 | 28 | 73.9 | 13.3 |
| Karnataka | 19.4 | 26.5 | 28.4 | 54.9 | 25.7 |
| Kerala | 24.2 | 11.5 | 27.3 | 38.8 | 37 |
| Lakshadweep | 34.1 | 4.6 | 15.2 | 19.8 | 46.1 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 11.8 | 42.5 | 29.3 | 71.8 | 16.4 |
| Maharashtra | 16.9 | 30.6 | 29 | 59.6 | 23.4 |
| Manipur | 33.3 | 13 | 22 | 35 | 31.7 |
| Meghalaya | 30.3 | 22.3 | 25.2 | 47.5 | 22.2 |

Table A1: Prevalence of Marriage Amongst Women in the Age Group of 21-30
Years by Different Groups of Women by Their Age at Marriage and the Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Unmarrie d (\%) | Married before 18 years (\%) | Married between 18 and 21 years (\%) | Married <br> before 21 <br> years (\%) | Married after 21 years (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | $\begin{gathered} (4)=(2)+(3 \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | (5) |
| Mizoram | 43.2 | 12.7 | 20.9 | 33.6 | 23.2 |
| Nagaland | 38.5 | 16.3 | 19.5 | 35.8 | 25.7 |
| Delhi | 28.2 | 22.9 | 22.8 | 45.7 | 26.1 |
| Odisha | 20.1 | 25.9 | 30.1 | 56 | 23.8 |
| Puducherry | 22.2 | 18 | 24.7 | 42.7 | 35.1 |
| Punjab | 29.2 | 12.6 | 25.1 | 37.7 | 33.1 |
| Rajasthan | 11.2 | 45.2 | 27.8 | 73 | 15.8 |
| Sikkim | 35.9 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 40.5 | 23.5 |
| Tamil Nadu | 20.2 | 21.2 | 27.3 | 48.5 | 31.3 |
| Tripura | 16.3 | 35 | 26.8 | 61.8 | 21.8 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 17.6 | 33.5 | 29.2 | 62.7 | 19.7 |
| Uttarakhand | 22.6 | 22.2 | 29.4 | 51.6 | 25.8 |
| West Bengal | 11.5 | 47.1 | 26.4 | 73.5 | 15 |
| Telangana | 14.9 | 36.3 | 27.1 | 63.4 | 21.6 |
| India | 16.2 | 34.3 | 28.3 | 62.6 | 21.2 |

Table A2: Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 21 Years Amongst Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years by Place of Residence and Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Urban (\%) | Rural (\%) | Total (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar <br> Islands | 37.5 | 62.5 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 28.2 | 71.8 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 24.4 | 75.6 | 100 |
| Assam | 10.6 | 89.4 | 100 |
| Bihar | 11 | 89 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 97 | 3 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 19.1 | 80.9 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 42.9 | 57.1 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 73.9 | 26.1 | 100 |

Table A2: Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 21 Years Amongst Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years by Place of Residence and Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Urban (\%) | Rural (\%) | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goa | 82.2 | 17.8 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 39.3 | 60.7 | 100 |
| Haryana | 35.7 | 64.3 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 6.7 | 93.3 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 16.7 | 83.3 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 20.2 | 79.8 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 38.1 | 61.9 | 100 |
| Kerala | 47.3 | 52.7 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 69.6 | 30.4 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 24.6 | 75.4 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 40.3 | 59.7 | 100 |
| Manipur | 33 | 67 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 14.8 | 85.2 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 44.3 | 55.7 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 30 | 70 | 100 |
| Delhi | 99.2 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Odisha | 15.1 | 84.9 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 73 | 27 | 100 |
| Punjab | 35.9 | 64.1 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 20.3 | 79.7 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 32.1 | 67.9 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 45.4 | 54.6 | 100 |
| Tripura | 24.1 | 75.9 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 19.8 | 80.2 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 31.4 | 68.6 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 25.1 | 74.9 | 100 |
| Telangana | 42.2 | 57.8 | 100 |
| India | 27.5 | 72.5 | 100 |


| Table A3: Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 18 Years Amongst Women <br> in the Age Group of 21-30 Years by Place of Residence and Indian States \& UTs |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States \& UTs | Urban (\%) | Rural (\%) | Total (\%) |
| Andaman and Nicobar <br> Islands | 38.5 | 61.5 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 27.7 | 72.3 | 100 |


| States \& UTs | Urban (\%) | Rural (\%) | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 23.8 | 76.2 | 100 |
| Assam | 10.8 | 89.2 | 100 |
| Bihar | 10.5 | 89.5 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 95.7 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 17.1 | 82.9 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 42.3 | 57.7 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 80.7 | 19.3 | 100 |
| Goa | 85.8 | 14.2 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 35.3 | 64.7 | 100 |
| Haryana | 37.8 | 62.2 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 5.6 | 94.4 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 17 | 83 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 18.4 | 81.6 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 35 | 65 | 100 |
| Kerala | 44.8 | 55.2 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 69.4 | 30.6 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 20.2 | 79.8 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 40 | 60 | 100 |
| Manipur | 32 | 68 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 14.8 | 85.2 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 33.9 | 66.1 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 32.1 | 67.9 | 100 |
| Delhi | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Odisha | 14.6 | 85.4 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 76.2 | 23.8 | 100 |
| Punjab | 40.8 | 59.2 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 17.5 | 82.5 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 34.2 | 65.8 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 43.6 | 56.4 | 100 |
| Tripura | 22.9 | 77.1 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 15.6 | 84.4 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 33.1 | 66.9 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 22.6 | 77.4 | 100 |
| Telangana | 36.5 | 63.5 | 100 |
| India | 24.5 | 75.5 | 100 |


| Table A4: Prevalence of Marriage Between the Age of 18 and 21 Years Amongst Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years by Place of Residence and the Indian States \& UTs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States \& UTs | Urban (\%) | Rural (\%) | Total (\%) |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 36.7 | 63.3 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 28.9 | 71.1 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 25.2 | 74.8 | 100 |
| Assam | 10.4 | 89.6 | 100 |
| Bihar | 11.8 | 88.2 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 98.1 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 21.2 | 78.8 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 43.6 | 56.4 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 67.6 | 32.4 | 100 |
| Goa | 77.7 | 22.3 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 43.7 | 56.3 | 100 |
| Haryana | 33.9 | 66.1 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 7.2 | 92.8 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 16.5 | 83.5 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 23.1 | 76.9 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 40.9 | 59.1 | 100 |
| Kerala | 48.3 | 51.7 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 69.6 | 30.4 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 30.9 | 69.1 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 40.7 | 59.3 | 100 |
| Manipur | 33.5 | 66.5 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 14.8 | 85.2 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 50.6 | 49.4 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 28.2 | 71.8 | 100 |
| Delhi | 98.7 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Odisha | 15.6 | 84.4 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 70.6 | 29.4 | 100 |
| Punjab | 33.5 | 66.5 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 24.9 | 75.1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 29.8 | 70.2 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 46.8 | 53.2 | 100 |
| Tripura | 25.6 | 74.4 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 24.7 | 75.3 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 30.1 | 69.9 | 100 |


| Table A4: Prevalence of Marriage Between the Age of 18 and 21 Years Amongst <br> Women in the Age Croup of 21-30 Years by Place of Residence and the Indian <br> States \& UTs |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States \& UTs | Urban (\%) | Rural (\%) | Total (\%) |
| West Bengal | 29.6 | 70.4 | 100 |
| Telangana | 49.8 | 50.2 | 100 |
| India | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |


| States \& UTs | Urban (\%) | Rural(\%) | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 37.6 | 62.4 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 36.4 | 63.6 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 32.9 | 67.1 | 100 |
| Assam | 17.8 | 82.2 | 100 |
| Bihar | 18.3 | 81.7 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 97.5 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 35 | 65 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 57.2 | 42.8 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 73.1 | 26.9 | 100 |
| Goa | 61 | 39 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 55.9 | 44.1 | 100 |
| Haryana | 43.2 | 56.8 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 7.6 | 92.4 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 28.8 | 71.2 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 40 | 60 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 51.4 | 48.6 | 100 |
| Kerala | 49.2 | 50.8 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 87.4 | 12.6 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 45 | 55 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 57.5 | 42.5 | 100 |
| Manipur | 37.7 | 62.3 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 22.3 | 77.7 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 55.4 | 44.6 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 34 | 66 | 100 |
| Delhi | 99.2 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Odisha | 18.2 | 81.8 | 100 |


| Puducherry | 67.9 | 32.1 | 100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punjab | 40.9 | 59.1 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 40.1 | 59.9 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 34.5 | 65.5 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 54.9 | 45.1 | 100 |
| Tripura | 30.9 | 69.1 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 35.8 | 64.2 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 42 | 58 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 50.6 | 49.4 | 100 |
| Telangana | 67.1 | 32.9 | 100 |
| India | $\mathbf{4 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |


| Table A6: Proportion of Households Across the Wealth Terciles for the Indian States \& |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UTs |  |  |  |  |


| UTs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States \& UTs | Bottom Tercile (\%) | Middle Tercile (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top } \\ \text { Tercile (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Total (\%) |
| Mizoram | 12.5 | 30.7 | 56.8 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 32.2 | 43.5 | 24.3 | 100 |
| Delhi | 1.2 | 20.4 | 78.4 | 100 |
| Odisha | 55.5 | 31.4 | 13.1 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 8.3 | 31.2 | 60.5 | 100 |
| Punjab | 1.8 | 18.8 | 79.4 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 30.2 | 34.7 | 35.1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 3.2 | 64.3 | 32.5 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 12.1 | 45.9 | 42 | 100 |
| Tripura | 47.1 | 41.2 | 11.7 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 40.3 | 30 | 29.6 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 13.2 | 38.6 | 48.3 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 46.1 | 35.1 | 18.8 | 100 |
| Telangana | 16.4 | 42.4 | 41.3 | 100 |
| India | 32.3 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 100 |


| States \& UTs | Bottom Tercile (\%) | Middle Tercile (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Top } \\ \text { Tercile (\%) } \end{array}$ | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 14.4 | 41.6 | 44 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 15.3 | 57.5 | 27.3 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 37.6 | 44.5 | 17.9 | 100 |
| Assam | 60.8 | 32.2 | 6.9 | 100 |
| Bihar | 73.6 | 20.6 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 5.4 | 25.7 | 68.9 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 55.8 | 28.8 | 15.5 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 39.2 | 38.2 | 22.6 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 11.4 | 40.4 | 48.2 | 100 |
| Goa | 10.6 | 36.5 | 52.9 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 23.1 | 40.1 | 36.8 | 100 |
| Haryana | 6.2 | 32.2 | 61.6 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 11.1 | 48.7 | 40.2 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 33.7 | 42 | 24.2 | 100 |

Table A7：Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 21 Years，for Women in the Age Group of 21－30 Years，by Household Wealth Terciles and States \＆UTs

| Jharkhand | 67.1 | 22.7 | 10.2 | 100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karnataka | 23.9 | 47.1 | 29 | 100 |
| Kerala | 1.6 | 27.8 | 70.6 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 30.6 | 69.4 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 52 | 28.2 | 19.7 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 23.4 | 44.3 | 32.2 | 100 |
| Manipur | 39 | 47.4 | 13.6 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 46.7 | 47 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 24.2 | 37 | 38.8 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 49.4 | 41.4 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Delhi | 2.4 | 32.9 | 64.7 | 100 |
| Odisha | 60.8 | 30 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 11.7 | 37.6 | 50.7 | 100 |
| Punjab | 3.6 | 28.9 | 67.4 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 35.4 | 37.6 | 27 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 4.3 | 71.2 | 24.5 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 15 | 51.6 | 33.4 | 100 |
| Tripura | 51.9 | 40.6 | 7.6 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 49.9 | 30.9 | 19.2 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 19.7 | 46 | 34.3 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 53 | 35.3 | 11.8 | 100 |
| Telangana | 19.4 | 47.4 | 33.2 | 100 |
| India | $\mathbf{4 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table A8：Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 18 Years，for Women in the Age Group of 21－30 Years，by Household Wealth Terciles and States \＆UTs

| States \＆UTs | Bottom <br> Tercile（\％） | Middle <br> Tercile（\％） | Top <br> Tercile（\％） | Total（\％） |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar <br> Islands | 20.1 | 51.1 | 28.8 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 17.5 | 58.7 | 23.9 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 39.4 | 45.2 | 15.3 | 100 |
| Assam | 64.1 | 30.1 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Bihar | 77 | 18.9 | 4.1 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 7.3 | 31.8 | 60.8 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 62.1 | 27.4 | 10.5 | 100 |

Table A8: Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 18 Years, for Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Household Wealth Terciles and States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Bottom <br> Tercile (\%) | Middle <br> Tercile (\%) | Top <br> Tercile (\%) | Total(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 40.4 | 38.4 | 21.2 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 15 | 44.9 | 40.1 | 100 |
| Goa | 15.5 | 34.5 | 49.9 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 26.9 | 44.4 | 28.7 | 100 |
| Haryana | 8.4 | 37.2 | 54.4 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 19.4 | 56.5 | 24.1 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 41.3 | 41.2 | 17.5 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 69.7 | 22.4 | 8 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 29.1 | 47.7 | 23.2 | 100 |
| Kerala | 2 | 28.7 | 69.3 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 27.8 | 46.6 | 25.7 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 49.3 | 41.5 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Manipur | 49.4 | 45.9 | 4.8 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 33.7 | 36.3 | 30.1 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 50.7 | 41.3 | 8 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 1.7 | 44.4 | 53.9 | 100 |
| Delhi | 64.7 | 27.9 | 7.4 | 100 |
| Odisha | 13.3 | 38.6 | 48.1 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 4.8 | 34.7 | 60.5 | 100 |
| Punjab | 38.9 | 38.9 | 22.2 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 4.6 | 73.9 | 21.5 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 16.4 | 54.3 | 29.3 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 57.7 | 37.8 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Tripura | 24.7 | 29.3 | 13.1 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 48.8 | 26.5 | 100 |  |
| Uttarakhand | 22 | 51.9 | 26 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 46.6 | 35.7 | 17.7 | 100 |
| Telangana |  |  |  |  |
| India | 34.1 | 8.2 | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 100 |

Table A9: Prevalence of Marriage Between the Age of 18 and 21 Years, for Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Household Wealth Terciles and States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Bottom <br> Tercile (\%) | Middle <br> Tercile (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top } \\ \text { Tercile (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 9.9 | 34 | 56.1 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 12.1 | 55.7 | 32.1 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 35.1 | 43.6 | 21.2 | 100 |
| Assam | 56.5 | 35.1 | 8.5 | 100 |
| Bihar | 68 | 23.4 | 8.6 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 3.7 | 20.1 | 76.2 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 49.3 | 30.2 | 20.5 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 37.9 | 38.1 | 24.1 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 7.9 | 36.2 | 55.8 | 100 |
| Goa | 4.5 | 38.9 | 56.7 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 18.9 | 35.3 | 45.8 | 100 |
| Haryana | 4.3 | 28 | 67.7 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 7.8 | 45.7 | 46.5 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 28.2 | 42.6 | 29.1 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 62.9 | 23.1 | 14 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 19 | 46.6 | 34.4 | 100 |
| Kerala | 1.4 | 27.4 | 71.2 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 27.4 | 72.6 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 43.4 | 29.1 | 27.5 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 18.8 | 42 | 39.2 | 100 |
| Manipur | 32.9 | 50.8 | 16.3 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 44.3 | 48 | 7.7 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 18.5 | 37.4 | 44.1 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 48.3 | 41.4 | 10.3 | 100 |
| Delhi | 3.1 | 21.3 | 75.6 | 100 |
| Odisha | 57.5 | 31.9 | 10.6 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 10.6 | 36.8 | 52.6 | 100 |
| Punjab | 3 | 26.1 | 70.9 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 29.9 | 35.5 | 34.6 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 4 | 68.3 | 27.7 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 13.9 | 49.5 | 36.6 | 100 |
| Tripura | 44.3 | 44.1 | 11.6 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 41 | 32.7 | 26.3 | 100 |

Table A9: Prevalence of Marriage Between the Age of 18 and 21 Years, for Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Household Wealth Terciles and States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Bottom <br> Tercile (\%) | Middle <br> Tercile (\%) | Top <br> Tercile (\%) | Total (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uttarakhand | 15.9 | 44 | 40.1 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 44.6 | 37.2 | 18.1 | 100 |
| Telangana | 15.8 | 41.4 | 42.8 | 100 |
| India | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |


| States \& UTs | Bottom Tercile (\%) | Middle <br> Tercile (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top } \\ \text { Tercile (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 5.1 | 27 | 67.9 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 8.2 | 41.5 | 50.4 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 28.6 | 39.6 | 31.8 | 100 |
| Assam | 39.8 | 39.9 | 20.3 | 100 |
| Bihar | 53.4 | 27.5 | 19.2 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 1.2 | 2.9 | 95.9 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 31.1 | 27.9 | 41 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 27 | 24.3 | 48.7 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 2.8 | 20.7 | 76.5 | 100 |
| Goa | 0 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 10 | 25.3 | 64.6 | 100 |
| Haryana | 2.4 | 18 | 79.6 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 2.7 | 31.3 | 66 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 14.9 | 37.5 | 47.7 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 46.7 | 22.3 | 31.1 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 11.5 | 40.8 | 47.7 | 100 |
| Kerala | 0.6 | 19.8 | 79.6 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0.6 | 12.9 | 86.6 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 29.1 | 25.5 | 45.4 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 12.1 | 31.8 | 56 | 100 |
| Manipur | 23.4 | 51.5 | 25.1 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 35.2 | 50.7 | 14.2 | 100 |


| Mizoram | 8.6 | 37.4 | 54 | 100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nagaland | 33.2 | 46.1 | 20.6 | 100 |
| Delhi | 0.1 | 12.8 | 87.1 | 100 |
| Odisha | 42.7 | 37.3 | 20 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 3.8 | 29.9 | 66.3 | 100 |
| Punjab | 1 | 17.8 | 26.7 | 55.4 |
| Rajasthan | 2.6 | 62 | 35.4 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 8.5 | 41.3 | 50.2 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 35.4 | 43.6 | 20.9 | 100 |
| Tripura | 25.9 | 28.3 | 45.7 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 6.8 | 30.1 | 63.1 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 25.2 | 36.7 | 38.1 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 8.9 | 32.3 | 58.8 | 100 |
| Telangana | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 8}$ | 50.5 | 100 |
| India |  |  |  | 100 |

Table All: Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 21 Years, for Women in the
Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Caste and States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | SC (\%) | ST (\%) | OBC (\%) | Other <br> Castes <br> (\%) | Total (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar <br> Islands | 7.2 | 4.1 | 18.3 | 70.4 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 22.8 | 5.8 | 53.7 | 17.6 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 8.7 | 71.6 | 6.5 | 13.2 | 100 |
| Assam | 14.7 | 16.8 | 31.8 | 36.7 | 100 |
| Bihar | 21.2 | 3.8 | 61.1 | 13.9 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 37.4 | 0 | 31.1 | 31.5 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 14.9 | 32.4 | 48.4 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 7.3 | 67.1 | 11.1 | 14.4 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 14 | 7.3 | 50.2 | 28.5 | 100 |
| Goa | 8.7 | 12.2 | 24.8 | 54.2 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 11.7 | 16.8 | 50.1 | 21.4 | 100 |
| Haryana | 29.9 | 0.3 | 48.7 | 21.1 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 35.1 | 3.7 | 9.5 | 51.6 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 17.2 | 25.8 | 6.8 | 50.2 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 14.9 | 25.9 | 51.1 | 8.1 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 26 | 12.8 | 50.2 | 11 | 100 |


| Kerala | 9.7 | 2.3 | 71.3 | 16.7 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 18.6 | 21.5 | 47.8 | 12.1 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 18.8 | 15.4 | 23.7 | 42 | 100 |
| Manipur | 7.3 | 36.9 | 19.5 | 36.3 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 3.7 | 95.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0.7 | 95.8 | 3.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 10.4 | 87.6 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Delhi | 27.3 | 1.1 | 33.8 | 37.8 | 100 |
| Odisha | 22.4 | 28.4 | 34.9 | 14.3 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 22.2 | 0.9 | 76.7 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Punjab | 43.9 | 0.3 | 21.2 | 34.5 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 19.6 | 14.6 | 50.7 | 15.1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 5.7 | 33.6 | 32.4 | 28.3 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 31.9 | 2.2 | 64.8 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Tripura | 27.8 | 37.3 | 20.8 | 14 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 25.2 | 1.5 | 56.3 | 17 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 26.7 | 5 | 25.4 | 42.9 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 37 | 7.3 | 14.1 | 41.7 | 100 |
| Telangana | 20.9 | 9.3 | 60.7 | 9.1 | 100 |
| India | 23.1 | 10.7 | 46.3 | 19.9 | 100 |
| Table A12: Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 18 Years, for Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Caste and States \& UTs |  |  |  |  |  |
| States \& UTs | sc (\%) | ST (\%) | OBC (\%) | Other Castes (\%) | Total (\%) |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 4.5 | 2.4 | 14.9 | 78.2 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 23.7 | 6.3 | 54.1 | 15.8 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 8.7 | 71.3 | 6.6 | 13.5 | 100 |
| Assam | 15 | 15.3 | 29.5 | 40.2 | 100 |
| Bihar | 22 | 3.6 | 62.3 | 12.1 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 29.4 | 0 | 30.6 | 40 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 16.2 | 33.6 | 46.2 | 4 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 9.8 | 68.7 | 15.1 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 15 | 9.3 | 46.6 | 29.1 | 100 |
| Goa | 6.5 | 8.9 | 22.6 | 61.9 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 12.5 | 17.8 | 52.5 | 17.2 | 100 |
| Haryana | 29.7 | 0.4 | 51.2 | 18.7 | 100 |


| Himachal Pradesh | 49.8 | 5 | 5.6 | 39.7 | 100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 15.2 | 31.3 | 6.9 | 46.6 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 15.7 | 24.5 | 52.2 | 7.7 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 27 | 13.6 | 48.5 | 10.9 | 100 |
| Kerala | 8.7 | 3 | 77.1 | 11.3 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 100 | 0.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 19.2 | 23.1 | 47.4 | 10.4 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 20.2 | 18.4 | 20.0 | 41.4 | 100 |
| Manipur | 6.6 | 38.9 | 20.6 | 33.9 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 3.7 | 94.8 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 1.2 | 94.5 | 4.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 12.9 | 83.7 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Delhi | 25.1 | 0.5 | 38.6 | 35.7 | 100 |
| Odisha | 23.9 | 31.4 | 32.2 | 12.5 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 43.2 | 0.1 | 74.1 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Punjab | 20.5 | 14.4 | 52.0 | 13.1 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 6.4 | 34.1 | 29.8 | 29.7 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 33.7 | 2.8 | 62.5 | 1 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 31.4 | 39.6 | 16.6 | 12.4 | 100 |
| Tripura | 26.6 | 1.6 | 56.5 | 15.3 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 32.1 | 6.1 | 25.4 | 36.4 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 37.8 | 7.4 | 13.6 | 41.2 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 22.5 | 11 | 59.9 | 6.6 | 100 |
| Telangana | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 11.4 | 46.1 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ | 100 |
| India |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A13: Prevalence of Marriage Between the Age of 18 and 21 Years, for Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years, by Caste and States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | SC (\%) | ST (\%) | OBC (\%) | Other <br> Castes <br> (\%) | Total(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar <br> Islands | 9.3 | 5.3 | 20.8 | 64.6 | 100 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 21.5 | 5.2 | 53.1 | 20.3 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 8.7 | 72 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 100 |
| Assam | 14.2 | 18.7 | 34.6 | 32.5 | 100 |
| Bihar | 19.8 | 4.1 | 59.1 | 17 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 44.6 | 0 | 31.6 | 23.8 | 100 |

Table A13: Prevalence of Marriage Between the Age of 18 and 21 Years, for Women in
the Age Group of $21-30$ Years, by Caste and States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | SC (\%) | ST (\%) | OBC (\%) | Other <br> Castes <br> (\%) | Total(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chhattisgarh | 13.5 | 31.3 | 50.5 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 4.7 | 65.5 | 6.9 | 22.8 | 100 |
| Daman and Diu | 12.9 | 5.3 | 53.9 | 28 | 100 |
| Goa | 11.2 | 16.2 | 27.3 | 45.2 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 10.8 | 15.7 | 47.5 | 26.1 | 100 |
| Haryana | 30.1 | 0.3 | 46.5 | 23.1 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 29.6 | 3.3 | 11 | 56.2 | 100 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 18.7 | 21.6 | 6.8 | 53 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 13.8 | 28.3 | 49.2 | 8.7 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 25.1 | 12.1 | 51.8 | 11.1 | 100 |
| Kerala | 10.1 | 2 | 69 | 18.8 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 17.8 | 19.3 | 48.5 | 14.4 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 17.4 | 12.4 | 27.7 | 42.6 | 100 |
| Manipur | 7.8 | 35.6 | 18.9 | 37.7 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 3.8 | 95.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0.4 | 96.6 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 8.5 | 90.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Delhi | 29.3 | 1.6 | 29.4 | 39.7 | 100 |
| Odisha | 21.1 | 25.8 | 37.3 | 15.9 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 19.6 | 1.5 | 78.7 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Punjab | 44.3 | 0.3 | 20.9 | 34.5 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 18.2 | 14.9 | 48.6 | 18.3 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 4.8 | 33.1 | 35.2 | 26.9 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 30.5 | 1.8 | 66.6 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Tripura | 23.4 | 34.5 | 26.1 | 16.1 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 23.5 | 1.3 | 56.1 | 19 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 22.6 | 4.1 | 25.5 | 47.8 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 18.7 | 7 | 61.8 | 12.5 | 100 |
| Telangana | 21.9 | 9.9 | 46.6 | 21.6 | 100 |
| India |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.1 | 14.9 | 42.6 | 100 |  |



| Table A14: Prevalence of Marriage After the Age of 21 Years, for Women in the Age <br> Group of 21-30 Years, by Caste and States \& UTs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States \& UTs | SC (\%) | ST (\%) | OBC (\%) | Other <br> Caste <br> (\%) | Total (\%) |  |
| Uttarakhand | 19.3 | 3.2 | 24.5 | 53 | 100 |  |
| West Bengal | 30.3 | 6.5 | 17.5 | 45.8 | 100 |  |
| Telangana | 15.3 | 3.4 | 59.5 | 21.9 | 100 |  |
| India | $\mathbf{1 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table A15: Attainment of Secondary Level Education by Different Groups of Women by Their Age at Marriage Across the Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Married <br> before 18 <br> years(\%) | Married <br> between 18 <br> and 21 years <br> (\%) | Married <br> before 21 <br> years(\%) | Married <br> after 21 <br> years (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar <br> Islands | 17.7 | 51.5 | 36.5 | 71.8 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 22.9 | 46.9 | 32.8 | 69.4 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 20 | 36.4 | 27 | 52.6 |
| Assam | 10.4 | 27.3 | 17.7 | 47.4 |
| Bihar | 13.8 | 26.7 | 18.6 | 40.3 |
| Chandigarh | 32.7 | 31.4 | 32 | 74.6 |
| Chhattisgarh | 10 | 26 | 17.9 | 51.1 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 18.5 | 38.9 | 28.4 | 60.8 |
| Daman and Diu | 29.2 | 54.7 | 42.3 | 74.9 |
| Goa | 22.2 | 50.8 | 35 | 75.9 |
| Gujarat | 13.6 | 33.5 | 23 | 55.6 |
| Haryana | 30.1 | 52.4 | 42.1 | 70.6 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 28.6 | 67.7 | 56.8 | 86.7 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 16.7 | 27 | 22.7 | 46.2 |
| Jharkhand | 16.9 | 33.9 | 23.3 | 50.3 |
| Karnataka | 29.9 | 53.4 | 42 | 68.6 |
| Kerala | 70.9 | 85.1 | 80.9 | 91.8 |
| Lakshadweep | 64 | 80.2 | 76.4 | 82.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 8.5 | 25.1 | 15.3 | 49.4 |
| Maharashtra | 22.4 | 49.9 | 35.8 | 66.9 |
| Manipur | 19.7 | 37.9 | 31.1 | 52.6 |
| Meghalaya | 12.8 | 31 | 22.5 | 46.7 |
|  |  |  |  | 76134 |

Table A15: Attainment of Secondary Level Education by Different Groups of Women by Their Age at Marriage Across the Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Married <br> before 18 <br> years(\%) | Married <br> between 18 <br> and 21 years <br> (\%) | Married <br> before 21 <br> years(\%) | Married <br> after 21 <br> years (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mizoram | 9.7 | 37.7 | 27.1 | 49.3 |
| Nagaland | 10.9 | 19.6 | 15.6 | 38.2 |
| Delhi | 31.4 | 52.6 | 42 | 72 |
| Odisha | 11.6 | 21.9 | 17.1 | 36.9 |
| Puducherry | 50.3 | 78.3 | 66.5 | 83.9 |
| Punjab | 25.1 | 48.1 | 40.4 | 73.5 |
| Rajasthan | 11.5 | 26.7 | 17.3 | 53.9 |
| Sikkim | 17 | 30.3 | 23.5 | 52.9 |
| Tamil Nadu | 42.2 | 61 | 52.8 | 73.9 |
| Tripura | 8.1 | 27.7 | 16.6 | 43.3 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 18.4 | 35.2 | 26.2 | 55.6 |
| Uttarakhand | 19.6 | 45.2 | 34.2 | 70.3 |
| West Bengal | 11.2 | 34.4 | 19.5 | 59.4 |
| Telangana | 28.8 | 59.6 | 41.9 | 77.3 |
| India | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 8}$ |

Table A16: Percentage of Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years by Different Groups of Women by Their Age at Marriage and Age at First Childbirth

| Age at <br> first <br> childbirth <br> (in years) | Unmarried <br> (\%) | Married <br> before 18 <br> years(\%) | Married <br> between <br> 18 and 21 <br> years(\%) | Married <br> before 21 <br> years(\%) | Married <br> after 21 <br> years(\%) | Total (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 11 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 12 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 |
| 13 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.7 |
| 14 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| 15 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 2.9 |


| 16 | 1.1 | 11.1 | 0.1 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 5.1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 3.2 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 8 |
| 18 | 10.9 | 22.4 | 2.1 | 13.6 | 0.3 | 10.9 |
| 19 | 10.1 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 17.2 | 0.3 | 13.8 |
| 20 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 28.7 | 17.5 | 0.5 | 14.1 |
| 21 | 20 | 4.8 | 28.3 | 15 | 3.2 | 12.6 |
| 22 | 12.7 | 2.7 | 14 | 7.6 | 19.8 | 10.1 |
| 23 | 9.8 | 1.4 | 5 | 3 | 22.7 | 6.9 |
| 24 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 19.3 | 5 |
| 25 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 13.8 | 3.3 |
| 26 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 9.3 | 2.1 |
| 27 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 1.2 |
| 28 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| 29 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 30 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table A17: Percentage of Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years with Low Body Mass Index by Different Groups of Women by their Age at Marriage Across the Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Married before 18 years (\%) | Married between 18 and 21 years (\%) | Married before the age of 21 years(\%) | Married before 21 years (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 10.8 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 12.9 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 18.5 | 18.3 | 18.5 | 16 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 8.5 | 8.1 | 7 | 6.2 |
| Assam | 26.6 | 25.2 | 23.7 | 19.8 |
| Bihar | 32 | 30.3 | 28.3 | 26.5 |
| Chandigarh | 7.2 | 8.4 | 12.9 | 10.8 |
| Chhattisgarh | 29.8 | 29.2 | 26.3 | 21.8 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 27.7 | 36.8 | 31.4 | 17.2 |
| Daman and Diu | 13 | 11.7 | 13.3 | 11.9 |
| Goa | 11.5 | 19.9 | 17.3 | 9 |
| Gujarat | 31.8 | 29.2 | 28.1 | 24.8 |
| Haryana | 14.6 | 17.1 | 15.6 | 12.9 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 15 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 16.2 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 14.8 | 13.7 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| Jharkhand | 35.5 | 36.1 | 32.3 | 28.1 |
| Karnataka | 20.7 | 21.9 | 22 | 18.9 |
| Kerala | 7.3 | 9 | 10.1 | 7.9 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 6.8 | 17.6 | 13.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 30.5 | 31.1 | 28.3 | 25.7 |
| Maharashtra | 26 | 28.3 | 25.8 | 24.9 |
| Manipur | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9 | 7.9 |
| Meghalaya | 13.9 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 12.6 |
| Mizoram | 9.2 | 11 | 8.7 | 7.2 |
| Nagaland | 10.9 | 16.4 | 13.4 | 13.8 |
| Delhi | 7.9 | 8.6 | 11.4 | 7.8 |
| Odisha | 27.6 | 26 | 25.2 | 21.9 |
| Puducherry | 7.2 | 13 | 9.4 | 6.7 |
| Punjab | 12 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 9.8 |
| Rajasthan | 28.2 | 28.5 | 26.5 | 22.5 |
| Sikkim | 5.5 | 6.6 | 6 | 4.1 |
| Tamil Nadu | 12.7 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 12.5 |


| Tripura | 19.9 | 22.5 | 20.3 | 17.9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uttar Pradesh | 24.4 | 23.8 | 22.1 | 18.9 |
| Uttarakhand | 18.6 | 17.5 | 18.1 | 16 |
| West Bengal | 21.3 | 21.8 | 19.6 | 14.9 |
| Telangana | 24.6 | 24.2 | 24.5 | 18.6 |
| India | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6}$ |

Table A18: Percentage of Women in the Age Group of 21-30 Years with Children by Different Groups of Women by Their Age at Marriage Across the Indian States \& UTs

| States \& UTs | Married <br> before 18 <br> years (\%) | Married <br> between 18 <br> and 21 years <br> (\%) | Married <br> before 21 <br> years (\%) | Married <br> after 21 <br> years (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman and Nicobar <br> Islands | 96.7 | 92.3 | 94.2 | 68.2 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 95.3 | 90.1 | 93.2 | 68.1 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 97.1 | 86.7 | 92.6 | 64.1 |
| Assam | 97.4 | 91.6 | 94.9 | 72.9 |
| Bihar | 96.6 | 88.9 | 93.8 | 70.1 |
| Chandigarh | 98 | 95.5 | 96.7 | 68.9 |
| Chhattisgarh | 95.1 | 89.8 | 92.5 | 70.3 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 96.9 | 84 | 90.6 | 69.9 |
| Daman and Diu | 92 | 86.8 | 89.3 | 61 |
| Goa | 97.3 | 85.8 | 92.2 | 65.8 |
| Gujarat | 93.7 | 85.2 | 89.7 | 67 |
| Haryana | 97.7 | 91.3 | 94.3 | 68.4 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 99 | 93.4 | 95 | 74.9 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 97.5 | 92.4 | 94.5 | 71.5 |
| Jharkhand | 95.8 | 89.2 | 93.3 | 70.5 |
| Karnataka | 93.7 | 87.2 | 90.4 | 69.3 |
| Kerala | 98.4 | 89.2 | 91.9 | 67.2 |
| Lakshadweep | 94.2 | 75.9 | 80.2 | 59.1 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 96.1 | 88.3 | 92.9 | 67.9 |
| Maharashtra | 94.8 | 88.8 | 91.9 | 64.8 |
| Manipur | 98.1 | 94.4 | 95.8 | 75.8 |
| Meghalaya | 98.4 | 93.9 | 96 | 76 |
| Mizoram | 97.8 | 96.5 | 97 | 80.8 |
| Nagaland | 96.7 | 95.1 | 95.8 | 77.4 |
| Delhi | 95.4 | 90.5 | 92.7 | 71.8 |
| Odisha |  | 88 | 92.8 | 65.7 |
|  |  | 9 |  |  |
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| Puducherry | 97 | 92.7 | 94.5 | 74.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punjab | 97 | 92.9 | 94.2 | 70 |
| Rajasthan | 94.8 | 86.4 | 91.6 | 65 |
| Sikkim | 98.3 | 93.6 | -6 96 | 57 |
| Tamil Nadu | 96.4 | 91.2 | 2 P | 74.3 |
| Tripura | 98.1 | 93.1 | 95.9 | 72.7 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 95 | 87.2 | 91.4 | 64.9 |
| Uttarakhand | 97.1 | 89.4 | 92.7 | 70.1 |
| West Bengal | 97.4 | 90.4 | 94.9 | 66.2 |
| Telangana | 95.9 | 90.3 | 93.5 | 69.3 |
| India | 95.8 | 89 | 92.7 | 68.4 |
| Table A19: Top 100 Districts with the Highest Prevalence of Marriage Before the Age of 21 Years Amongst Women in the Age Group of 21-20 Years |  |  |  |  |
| States \& UTs | District | Married before <br> 21 years (\%) |  | Married after 21 years(\%) |
| Bihar | Jamui | 91.9 |  | 6.4 |
| Bihar | Madhepura | 91.1 |  | 7.7 |
| Bihar | Begusarai | 89.9 |  | 7.3 |
| Bihar | Khagaria | 89.7 |  | 7.5 |
| Jharkhand | Godda | 89.1 |  | 7.3 |
| Jharkhand | Chatra | 89.1 |  | 7.3 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Shrawasti | 89 |  | 8.2 |
| Jharkhand | Giridih | 88.9 |  | 8.4 |
| Bihar | Supaul | 87.9 |  | 9.3 |
| Jharkhand | Garhwa | 87.8 |  | 7.5 |
| Bihar | Purba Champaran | 87.3 |  | 9.3 |
| Bihar | Sheikhpura | 86.5 |  | 9 |
| Rajasthan | Barmer | 86.3 |  | 9.7 |
| Bihar | Samastipur | 86.2 |  | 10.6 |
| Bihar | Sheohar | 86.2 |  | 11.8 |
| Bihar | Gaya | 86.1 |  | 7.7 |
| West Bengal | Purba Medinipur | 85.8 |  | 9 |
| Bihar | Lakhisarai | 85.6 |  | 9.7 |
| Jharkhand | Deoghar | 85.3 |  | 8.7 |
| Bihar | Madhubani | 85 |  | 11.9 |
| Jharkhand | Kodarma | 84.9 |  | 10.2 |
| West Bengal | Maldah | 84.8 |  | 9.5 |
| Bihar | Araria | 84.6 |  | 11.2 |


| Bihar | Sitamarhi | 84.3 | 12.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bihar | Nawada | 84.2 | 10.5 |
| Rajasthan | Sawai Madhopur | 84.1 | 7.9 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Prakasam | 83.9 | 11.1 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Tikamgarh | 83.9 | 7.6 |
| Maharashtra | Jalna | 83.9 | 11.5 |
| Bihar | Arwal | 83.8 | 10.3 |
| Bihar | Darbhanga | 83.4 | 12.1 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Rajgarh | 83.3 | 12.2 |
| Bihar | Banka | 83.2 | 15 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Lalitpur | 83 | 9.2 |
| Bihar | Vaishali | 82.5 | 12 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Singrauli | 82.5 | 9.7 |
| Rajasthan | Karauli | 82.5 | 7.7 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Mahrajganj | 82.5 | 11.3 |
| Maharashtra | Aurangabad | 82.3 | 9.2 |
| Maharashtra | Bid | 82.3 | 13.5 |
| West Bengal | Paschim Medinipur | 82.3 | 12 |
| West Bengal | Murshidabad | 82 | 8.6 |
| Bihar | Muzaffarpur | 81.7 | 13.7 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Sidhi | 81.6 | 12.2 |
| Bihar | Katihar | 81.3 | 12.8 |
| Rajasthan | Jaisalmer | 81.3 | 16.4 |
| Bihar | Pashchim Champaran | 81.3 | 13.7 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Rewa | 81.3 | 9 |
| Bihar | Kaimur (Bhabua) | 81.2 | 11.4 |
| West Bengal | Puruliya | 81.2 | 11.5 |
| Rajasthan | Nagaur | 81.1 | 11.2 |
| Bihar | Purnia | 80.9 | 15.1 |
| Gujarat | Banaskantha | 80.9 | 13.9 |
| Maharashtra | Parbhani | 80.9 | 11.3 |
| Rajasthan | Chittaurgarh | 80.9 | 15.1 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Jhabua | 80.8 | 11.8 |
| West Bengal | Uttar Dinajpur | 80.8 | 11.9 |
| Jharkhand | Dumka | 80.7 | 13 |
| Rajasthan | Rajsamand | 80.7 | 14 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Shivpuri | 80.6 | 11.1 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chhatarpur | 80.5 | 12.6 |
| Jharkhand | Jamtara | 80.4 | 13 |


| Madhya Pradesh | Mandsaur | 80.4 | 12.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Bengal | Dakshin Dinajpur | 80.1 | 10.2 |
| West Bengal | South Twenty-Four Parganas | 80 | 13.8 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Morena | 79.8 | 15.7 |
| Maharashtra | Nanded | 79.8 | 12.7 |
| West Bengal | Birbhum | 79.8 | 12.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Sheopur | 79.7 | 12.8 |
| Bihar | Saharsa | 79.6 | 15.5 |
| Jharkhand | Latehar | 79.6 | 13 |
| Rajasthan | Jalor | 79.6 | 14 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Dindori | 79.5 | 11.7 |
| Maharashtra | Latur | 79.5 | 12.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Shajapur | 79.3 | 16 |
| Jharkhand | Palamu | 79.3 | 10.9 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Bhind | 79.3 | 14.8 |
| Rajasthan | Udaipur | 79.3 | 12.1 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vidisha | 79.2 | 12.2 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Chitrakoot | 79.2 | 11.7 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Kurnool | 79.1 | 11.5 |
| Haryana | Mewat | 79.1 | 13.6 |
| West Bengal | Bankura | 78.9 | 14.5 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Bahraich | 78.8 | 17.2 |
| Bihar | Bhojpur | 78.7 | 13.1 |
| Odisha | Nabarangapur | 78.7 | 10.1 |
| Bihar | Nalanda | 78.6 | 14.5 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Gonda | 78.6 | 9.8 |
| Jharkhand | Sahibganj | 78.5 | 10 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Datia | 78.4 | 12 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Umaria | 78.4 | 11.8 |
| Bihar | Jehanabad | 78.3 | 12.6 |
| Maharashtra | Jalgaon | 78.3 | 14.7 |
| Bihar | Rohtas | 78.2 | 14.8 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Ashoknagar | 78 | 14.2 |
| Rajasthan | Churu | 77.9 | 12.9 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Mirzapur | 77.7 | 14.9 |
| Bihar | Aurangabad | 77.6 | 16.4 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Guntur | 77.5 | 15.1 |
| Jharkhand | Pakur | 77.5 | 13 |


| States \& UTs | District | Married Before 21 Years (\%) | Married After 21 Years (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tamil Nadu | Kanniyakumari | 18.8 | 54.1 |
| Kerala | Ernakulam | 19.4 | 52.2 |
| Kerala | Alappuzha | 21.4 | 51.7 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Kangra | 28.3 | 49.5 |
| Kerala | Thiruvananthapuram | 29.9 | 46.8 |
| Lakshadweep | Lakshadweep | 19.8 | 46.1 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Hamirpur | 42.3 | 44.6 |
| Karnataka | Udupi | 16.8 | 43.3 |
| Kerala | Kottayam | 21.2 | 41.8 |
| Puducherry | Mahe | 36.3 | 41.6 |
| Kerala | Kannur | 43.6 | 41.3 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Samba | 28.4 | 41 |
| Kerala | Thrissur | 35.2 | 40.7 |
| Tamil Nadu | Tirunelveli | 33.7 | 40.6 |
| Maharashtra | Gondiya | 46 | 40.1 |
| Kerala | Pathanamthitta | 25.9 | 39.9 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Una | 32.5 | 39.8 |
| Punjab | Hoshiarpur | 30 | 39.8 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thoothukkudi | 36.5 | 39.5 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thiruvarur | 37.2 | 39.4 |
| Maharashtra | Ratnagiri | 42.2 | 39.3 |
| Odisha | Jajapur | 32.6 | 39.2 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Bilaspur | 65 | 39 |
| Karnataka | Dakshina Kannada | 19.2 | 38.9 |
| Kerala | Kasaragod | 41.1 | 38.8 |
| Kerala | Kollam | 33.5 | 38.5 |
| Haryana | Ambala | 43 | 38.3 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Kathua | 30.5 | 38 |
| Tamil Nadu | Ramanathapuram | 45.6 | 38 |
| Odisha | Puri | 40.3 | 37.5 |
| Punjab | Patiala | 37.8 | 37.5 |
| Punjab | Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar | 35.8 | 37.5 |


| Table A20: Top 100 Districts with the Highest Prevalence of Marriage After the Age of 21 Years Amongst Women in the Age Group of 21-20 Years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States \& UTs | District | Married Before 21 Years (\%) | Married After 21 Years (\%) |
| Karnataka | Hassan | 41.4 | 37.4 |
| Tamil Nadu | Nagapattinam | 38.2 | 37.3 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thiruvallur | 42.2 | 37.1 |
| Punjab | Rupnagar | 32.1 | 37 |
| Punjab | Sangrur | 23.2 | 36.9 |
| Puducherry | Karaikal | 41.5 | 36.5 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Solan | 43.5 | 36.4 |
| Punjab | Fatehgarh Sahib | 35.4 | 36.4 |
| Punjab | Gurdaspur | 33 | 36.2 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Leh | 21.8 | 36.1 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Lahul And Spiti | 33.4 | 36 |
| Haryana | Panchkula | 42.5 | 35.8 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Kulgam | 16.2 | 35.8 |
| Manipur | Bishnupur | 31.5 | 35.6 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Badgam | 13.9 | 35.4 |
| Karnataka | Bangalore | 42.3 | 35.2 |
| Puducherry | Puducherry | 42.2 | 35.2 |
| Tamil Nadu | Sivaganga | 47.2 | 35.2 |
| Haryana | Kurukshetra | 44.8 | 35.1 |
| Tamil Nadu | Pudukkottai | 40.4 | 35.1 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Chamba | 43.8 | 35 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Kullu | 44.3 | 34.9 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Ganderbal | 24.8 | 34.9 |
| Punjab | Faridkot | 42.2 | 34.9 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Sirmaur | 36.5 | 34.8 |
| Maharashtra | Raigarh | 63.1 | 34.8 |
| Punjab | Jalandhar | 21.6 | 34.7 |
| Odisha | Jagatsinghapur | 32.6 | 34.6 |
| Tamil Nadu | Chennai | 39.6 | 34.3 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thanjavur | 43 | 34.3 |
| Haryana | Karnal | 50.7 | 34.2 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Jammu | 31.2 | 34.1 |
| Tamil Nadu | Tiruchirappalli | 45.8 | 34 |
| Karnataka | Shimoga | 44.1 | 33.7 |
| Tamil Nadu | Kancheepuram | 43.2 | 33.6 |


| States \& UTs | District | Married Before 21 Years (\%) | Married After 21 Years (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Odisha | Kendrapara | 40.3 | 33.5 |
| Manipur | Thoubal | 36.2 | 33.4 |
| Odisha | Bhadrak | 44 | 33.4 |
| Manipur | Imphal West | 27.8 | 33.3 |
| Manipur | Ukhrul | 37.8 | 33.3 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Kupwara | 33.7 | 33.2 |
| Kerala | Idukki | 35.8 | 33.2 |
| Maharashtra | Bhandara | 41.1 | 33 |
| West Bengal | Kolkata | 40 | 33 |
| Tamil Nadu | Karur | 53.9 | 32.8 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Shimla | 35.2 | 32.5 |
| Maharashtra | Sindhudurg | 39.1 | 32.4 |
| Punjab | Ludhiana | 37.5 | 32.1 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coimbatore | 51.1 | 32.1 |
| Manipur | Tamenglong | 43.3 | 32 |
| Punjab | Barnala | 44.5 | 31.9 |
| Nagaland | Zunheboto | 21 | 31.8 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | Srinagar | 11.4 | 31.7 |
| Goa | North Goa | 26.3 | 31.6 |
| Uttarakhand | Garhwal | 49.7 | 31.6 |
| Karnataka | Uttara Kannada | 35.2 | 31.5 |
| Haryana | Yamunanagar | 43.4 | 31.4 |
| Karnataka | Bangalore Rural | 53.5 | 31.4 |
| Punjab | Tarn Taran | 41.7 | 31.3 |
| Tamil Nadu | The Nilgiris | 53.5 | 31.3 |
| Tamil Nadu | Virudhunagar | 47.7 | 31.3 |
| Uttarakhand | Dehradun | 34.3 | 31.3 |
| Maharashtra | Wardha | 47.3 | 31.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Balaghat | 50.2 | 31.1 |
| Kerala | Wayanad | 46.2 | 30.8 |
| Nagaland | Wokha | 29.7 | 30.8 |
| Tamil Nadu | Vellore | 47.4 | 30.8 |
| Haryana | Kaithal | 54.5 | 30.7 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ By way of background, the Government of India constituted the Jaitly Task Force to examine raising the legal age of marriage of girls to 21 years and represnetation from various organisation including the National Commission for Protection of Child Rights ("NCPCR") have been sought. While Young Lives supported NCPCR in its submission to the Jaitly Task Force, the findings from this Task Force are currently awaited.
    ${ }^{2}$ Early marriage is defined to include all the marriages that take place before the age of 21 years old.
    ${ }^{3}$ NFHS captures data based on prevalence i.e. "the total number of individuals in a population who have a disease or health condition at a specific period of time, usually expressed as a percentage of the population"

[^1]:    and not incidence i.e. "the number of individuals who develop a specific disease or experience a specific health-related event during a particular time period (such as a month or year)" which is captured in Census of India data (School of Public Health. Prevalence and Incidence Defined. Retrieved from Harvard University: https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/obesity-prevention-source/prevalence-incidence/). The researchers have opted to rely upon NFHS-4 data as it's the most recent data available in the country from 2015-2016 unlike Census 2011 data which is almost a decade old and may not be reflective of the demographic trends and patterns seen in the country as of date.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Unmarried women are anywhere between the age of 21 to 30 years at the time of NFHS-4 data collection.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Of the women who got married before the age of 21 years, $54.8 \%$ got

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Based on the Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.
    ${ }^{8}$ For more details, see Table A2-A5 in Appendix A.

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ These include Kerala (70.6\%), Lakshadweep (69.4\%), Chandigarh (68.9\%), Punjab (67.4\%), Delhi (64.7\%), Haryana (61.6\%), Goa (52.9\%), and Puducherry (50.7\%).

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ For more details, see Table A6-A10 in Appendix A.
    ${ }^{14}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^8]:    ${ }^{15}$ Based on Census of India 2011, these are predominantly tribal States \& UTs (Office of the Registrar General \& Census Commissioner, India. Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. Retrieved from https://censusindia.gov.in/Census_And_You/scheduled_castes_and_scedul ed_tribes.aspx).
    ${ }^{16}$ For more details, see Table A10 in Appendix A.
    ${ }^{17}$ For more details, see Table A11, A12 \& A13 in Appendix A
    ${ }^{18}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^9]:    ${ }^{9}$ The different levels of education are defined as following: 'no education refers to not having been completed Grade 1, 'primary level education' includes having completed either of Grades 1 to 5, 'upper primary level education' includes having completed either of Grades 6 to 8, 'secondary level education' includes having completed either of Grades 9 to 10 , 'higher secondary level education' includes having completed either of Grades 11 to 12 , and 'tertiary level education' includes having completed at least one additional year education after Grade 12.

[^10]:    ${ }^{20}$ Completion rate of secondary education is the proportion of women who have at least completed Grade 10.

[^11]:    ${ }^{23}$ UN Women. (2018). Facts and Figures: Economic Empowerment. Retrieved from https://www.unwomen.org/en/what-we-do/economic-empowerment/facts-and-figures
    ${ }^{24}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^12]:    ${ }^{25}$ The World Bank. (2017). Economic Impacts of Child Marriage: Work Earnings, and Household Welfare Brief.
    $26 / \mathrm{bid}$.
    ${ }^{27}$ Here, decision making pertains to only the money earned by the women.
    ${ }^{28}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^13]:    ${ }^{29}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.
    ${ }^{30}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.
    ${ }^{31}$ For more details, see Table A16 in Appendix A.
    ${ }^{32}$ World Health Organization. (2020). Adolescent pregnancy. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescent-pregnancy

[^14]:    ${ }^{33}$ Perez-Alvarez, M., \& Favara, M. (2019). Maternal Age and Offspring Human Capital in India. (IZA DP No. 12489). Retrieved from http://ftp.iza.org/dp12489.pdf
    ${ }^{34}$ The estimate does not include women who were pregnant or had given birth to a child in the past 2 months at the time of the interview.
    ${ }^{35}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^15]:    ${ }^{36}$ See Table A17 in Appendix A.
    ${ }^{37}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^16]:    ${ }^{38}$ This is taken as the total number of children ever born to the woman.
    ${ }^{39}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^17]:    ${ }^{40}$ For more details, see Table A18 in Appendix A.
    ${ }^{41}$ For more details, see Table A19 \& A20 in Appendix A.

[^18]:    ${ }^{42}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.
    ${ }^{43}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.

[^19]:    ${ }^{44}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.
    ${ }^{45}$ Based on Pearson's chi-squared test at $1 \%$ level of significance.
    ${ }^{46}$ Body mass index between 18.5 to $24.99 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is considered healthy or normal weight.

[^20]:    ${ }^{47}$ For more details, see Table A19 in Appendix A
    ${ }^{48}$ For more details, see Table A20 in Appendix A.

[^21]:    49 UNICEF. (2001). Early Marriage: Child Spouses. (Innocenti Digest). Retrieved from https://www.unicef-irc.org/publications/pdf/digest7e.pdf

[^22]:    ${ }^{50}$ Sudarsoa, Mas'udahb, S. and Budirahayu, T. (2020). Early Marriage and Women's Empowerment in Rural Areas. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 13(3).

